

ROANNE L. MANN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DATE: February 2, 2022
START: 11:00 a.m.
END: 11:45 a.m.

DOCKET NO: 18-cv-6011 (RLM)

CASE: Rosa et al v. Central Medical Services of Westrock, P.C. et al

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> INITIAL CONFERENCE | <input type="checkbox"/> FAIRNESS HEARING |
| <input type="checkbox"/> DISCOVERY CONFERENCE | <input type="checkbox"/> FINAL/PRETRIAL CONFERENCE |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TELEPHONE CONFERENCE |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRE MOTION HEARING | <input type="checkbox"/> INFANT COMPROMISE HEARING |

PLAINTIFF

ATTORNEY

	Michael Taubenfeld

DEFENDANTS

ATTORNEY

	V. Jonas Urba

- ☐ **FACT DISCOVERY TO BE COMPLETED BY** _____
- ☐ **SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE SCHEDULED FOR** _____
- ☐ **JOINT PRE-TRIAL ORDER TO BE FILED VIA ECF BY** _____
- ☐ **PL. TO SERVE DEF. BY:** _____ **DEF. TO SERVE PL. BY:** _____

RULINGS: PLEASE TYPE THE FOLLOWING ON DOCKET SHEET

The Court holds a telephonic pre-motion conference re [49] plaintiff's request to move to reopen the case. Plaintiff Jacie Williams' request to reopen this case to enforce the settlement is denied for the reasons stated on the record, in that defendants fully performed their obligations under the Settlement Agreement when they delivered payment of the settlement sums to plaintiffs' counsel in the form of cashier's checks. See Isler v. Fisher, 1989 WL 94341, at *1 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 1, 1989). The Court further finds that a Rule 11 motion directed at plaintiffs' counsel is inappropriate under the circumstances.